

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:
Jun Wang, et al.

Serial No.: 10/792,062

Filed: March 2, 2004

**For: USER PLANE-BASED LOCATION
SERVICES (LCS) SYSTEM,
METHOD AND APPARATUS**

Examiner: Pierre Desir

Group Art Unit: 2617

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Pierre Louis Desir
Examiner

Dear Sir:

The following is in response to the Decision on Petition dated April 23, 2008.
Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and grant of the renewed petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant application for the reasons presented herein.


I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent
via electronic mail, addressed to the Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks, Alexandria, VA 22313, on:

June 23, 2008

(Date of Deposit)

Saranel Salinas

(Name of Person Making Deposit)


(Signature)

REMARKS

Applicant received the Decision On Petition dated April 23, 2008 dismissing Applicants petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 1, 2007, to revive the instant application.

CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS

Applicant originally filed a petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant application on November 1, 2007, within three months of the date the Applicant was first notified that the application was abandoned. The PTO reviewed Applicant's petition and issued the Decision On Petition, dated April 23, 2008, dismissing Applicant's Petition. Applicant submits the renewed petition and request for reconsideration within two-months of the date of the Decision On Petition.

RENEWED PETITION

The decision to dismiss Applicant's petition was based on a failure to include a required reply with the petition. Although Applicant submitted an amendment with the petition dated November 1, 2007, the amendment did not *prima facie* place the application in condition for allowance, and thus, the reply required must include one of (1) a Notice of Appeal and appeal fee, (2) RCE, or (3) the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).

Applicant respectfully renews the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant application, and submits herewith, the Amendment previously submitted in the petition dated November 1, 2007, Request for Continued Examination with associated fee, and newly executed petition for revival of an application for abandonment unintentionally that identifies the attached amendment and RCE.

Applicant respectfully requests grant of the renewed petition.

CONCLUSION

In light of the renewed petition with Amendment, RCE, and newly executed petition contained herein, Applicant submits that the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant application satisfies all elements required for a grantable petition. Applicant respectfully requests grant of the petition to revive.


Applicant authorizes Deposit Account No. 17-0026 be charged the fee under 1.117(e) for the RCE, and respectfully believes that no new petition fee is required.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Dated: June 23, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Andrea L. Mays
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,721

QUALCOMM Incorporated
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-2779
Telephone: (858) 651-8546
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502